PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

PCT SON, Min Physical Property (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14 APRIL 2006 (14.04.2006) Applicant's or agent's file reference PCTAS12-827. International application No. PCT/KR2006/000073 06 JANUARY 2006 (06.01.2006) PCT/KR2006/000073 06 JANUARY 2006 (06.01.2006) International Patient Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC COTC 211/29(2006.01), A618 31/135(2006.01); Applicant CJ Corporation et al 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(1) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement index of the content of the international application Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international applic	NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	HORITY	_			
Phi Floor, City Air Tower 159-9, Samseong-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-973 Republic of Korea Phi Floor, City Air Tower 159-9, Samseong-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-973 Republic of Korea Phi Floor, City Air Tower 159-9, Samseong-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-973 Republic of Korea Phi Floor, City Air Tower 159-9, Samseong-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-973 Republic of Korea Pri City Air April 2006 (14.04.2006) POT Rule 43bis.1)	То:			DCT		
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14 APRIL 2006 (14.04.2006)	SON, Min	PCI				
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCTA9512-827. PCT/KR2006/000073 International application No. PCT/KR2006/000073 International application (IPC) or both national classification and IPC COTC 211/29(2006.01)i. A61K 31/135(2006.01)i Applicant CJ Corporation et al 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VII Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application For No. VIII Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application For No. VIII Certain defects in the international application The demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 o before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expiral late. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		seong-dong, Gangnam-	WI INTERNAT	TONAL SEARCHING AU	IE THORITY	
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCTA9512-827. PCT/KR2006/000073 International application No. PCT/KR2006/000073 International application (IPC) or both national classification and IPC COTC 211/29(2006.01)i. A61K 31/135(2006.01)i Applicant CJ Corporation et al 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VII Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application For No. VIII Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application For No. VIII Certain defects in the international application The demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 o before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expiral late. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				·	<u> </u>	
International application No. International filing date (dap/month/year) O6 JANUARY 2006 (06.01.2006) O6 JANUARY 2005 (06.01.2005) O6 JANUARY 2006 (06.01.2006) O6 JANUARY 2005 (06.01.2005) O6 JANUARY 2005 (06.01.2005)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		14 APRIL 2006 (14.04	4.2006)	
Description	••		FOR FURTHER A	٦		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC COTC 211/29(2006.01)4, A61K 31/35(2006.01)1 Applicant CJ Corporation et al 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application For International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA as intrine reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office. Authorized office.	International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date(day/month/	/year)	
Applicant CJ Corporation et al 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office.	PCT/KR2006/000073			06 JANUARY 2005 (06.	.01.2005)	
i. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification	ation and IPC			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	C07C 211/29(2006.01)i, A61K 31/135(2	006.01)i				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	Applicant					
Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Korean Intellectual Property Office	CJ Corporation et al					
Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Korean Intellectual Property Office						
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office.			ns:	•	,	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office.		inon ,	•		•	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office.		ent of oninion with rega	rd to novelty inventiv	e sten and industrial applical	bility	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office.	<u> </u>		ia to novelly, arrenar	o stop and mousaid approve	2	
citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("PEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Korean Intellectual Property Office Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office			'a)(i) with regard to no	velty, inventive step or indu	strial applicability:	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office.				,, ,		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office Korean Intellectual Property Office	Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited				
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office Korean Intellectual Property Office	Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international app	lication			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office Authorized office	Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the internationa	l application			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office Authorized office						
IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer Authorized Authorized officer Authorized	If a demand for international prelimin International Preliminary Examining other than this one to be the IPEA and	Authority ("IPEA") exce I the chosen IPEA has no	pt that this does not ap otified the Internationa	ply where the applicant cho	oses an Authority	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR Date of completion of this opinion Authorized office	IPEA a written reply together, where of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the e	appropriate, with amend expiration of 22 months f	ments, before the expi	ration of 3 months from the	abmit to the date of mailing	
Korean Intellectual Property Office	3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		2006	4. 2 4 60	
Korean Intellectual Property Office	Name and mailing address of the ISA/KI	R Date of comp	letion of this opinion	Authorized officer		
	Korean Intellectual Property	Office		Wei 15	「原作力	

Telephone No.82-42-481-8149

Facsimile No. 82-42-472-7140



10/580136
IAP9 Residention No. 136
International application No.

PCT/KR2006/000073

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

1.							•	
	With regard to the langu which it was filed, unles				basis of the i	nternational a	pplication in the lang	guage in
	_	•			rom the origin	, rol lonmiage i	nto the following la	
	Rules 12.3 and 23	, which					es of international se	
2	With regard to any nuc		or amino acid	seguence discl	sed in the in	iternational ai	nlication and neces	eary to the
	claimed invention, this o				osed mule n	iternationar aj	opineation and neces	sary to the
	a. type of material a sequence listing	i a	-				• • •	
	table(s) related	-	listing					
	b. format of material	•						
	on paper in electronic for			•				·
•	in electronic for	TIT				•		•
	c. time of filing/furnishin	_	1					
	contained in the				form.		,	
	furnished subsec				`	•		
3.	In addition, in the ca	ase that more th	han one version	or copy of a se	quence listing	g and/or table	relating thereto has	been
	filed or furnished, the	ne required stat	ements that the	information in	the subseque	nt or additioar	nl copies is identical	
	in the application as	mied or does no	ot go beyond th	e application as	filed, as app	ropriate, were	furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:			· .	•			
			•					•
						•		•
						-		
						-		•
						· -		•
						-		
						-		
						· -		
						· -		
						· ·		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2006/000073

BOX IA	o. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The quindustr	estions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be ially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 13
bec:	ause:
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 13
السكا	relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
	The international Searching Authority is not required to search under PCT Rule 39.1(iv) the subject matter of claim 13 with respect to industrial applicability as it is a method of treating or preventing obesity and related disorders, depression, Parkinson's disease and so on.
•	
•	
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
٠.	
\Box	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported
لـــا	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
-	
\square	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 13
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Istructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Istructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
•	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rule 13ter.1(a) or (b).
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/KR2006/000073

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	None				<u> </u>	 YES
	Claims	1-12					NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	None					YES
	Claims	1-12	•	•	•		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12					 YES
•	Claims	None		•		·	NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 98/13034 A1

The present invention relates to novel inorganic acid salt of sibutramine, a method of preparing the compound, and a pharmaceutical composition.

Document D1 relates to a pharmaceutical composition for lowering lipid levels in the human body comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound of formula I.

1. Concerning claims 1-12

Claims 1-6 relate to sibutramine having hydrogen sulfate, bromate or phosphate salts. Said compound of claims 1-6 is already disclosed in D1, and its preparation and a pharmaceutical composition thereof in claims 7-12 are also disclosed in D1.

Therefore, claims 1 -12 of the present invention do not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

2. Industrial Applicability

The subject matter of claims 1-12 is considered to be industrially applicable under PCT Article 33(4).



International application No.
PCT/KR2006/000073

Supplemental Box
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: